

**No. ID/GGN/50-83/33614.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sarju Parshad and the management of M/s. Natraj Woollen Mills, Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of the Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Sarju Parshad, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/GGN/73-83/33621.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bishmber Dayal and the management of M/s Raj Metals, Delhi Road, Rewari, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bishmber Dayal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/YMN/266-83/33628.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rakesh Kumar Sharma and the management of M/s Amit Steel Rolling Mills c/o. M/s Paras Metal Industries, Court Road, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rakesh Kumar Sharma, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/GGN/76-83/33634.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Amar Nath and the management of M/s Deepak Exports Industries Opp. Post office, Rewari, (ii) New India Exports Industries, Railway Road, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Amar Nath was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

V. S. CHAUDHRI,

Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Labour Department.